	FILED COUR	7
	IAN 1 6 2015	11
CEN	NTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIF	EPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PENNYMAC HOLDINGS, LLC, Plaintiff,

No. CV 15-255 JAK (FFMx)

ORDER SUMMARILY REMANDING ACTION TO STATE COURT

v.

CESAR MORALES; and DOES 1 to 10 Inclusive,

Defendant.

The Court will remand this action to state court summarily because Defendant removed it improperly.

On January 13, 2015, Defendant Miguel Sanchez, having been sued in what appears to be a routine unlawful detainer action in California state court (Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 14P06953), filed a Notice of Removal of that action to this Court and also presented an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

The Court has denied the *in forma pauperis* application under separate cover because the Court lacks jurisdiction over the action. To prevent the action from remaining in jurisdictional limbo, the Court issues this Order to remand the action to state court.

Simply stated, as the Court has previously determined, Plaintiff could not have brought this action in federal court in the first place, in that Defendant does not competently allege facts supplying either diversity or federal-question jurisdiction, and

therefore removal is improper. 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a); see Exxon Mobil Corp v. Allapattah 1 Svcs., Inc., 545 U.S. 546, 563, 125 S. Ct. 2611, 162 L. Ed. 2d 502 (2005). Even if 2 complete diversity of citizenship existed, the amount in controversy does not exceed the 3 diversity-jurisdiction threshold of \$75,000. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441(b). On the 4 contrary, the unlawful-detainer complaint recites that the amount in controversy does not 5 exceed \$10,000. 6 Nor does Plaintiff's unlawful detainer action raise any federal legal question. See 7 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1441(b). 8 Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that (1) this matter be REMANDED to the Superior 9 Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Pasadena Courthouse, 300 East Walnut 10 Street, Pasadena, California 91325 for lack of subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 11 U.S.C. § 1447(c); (2) that the Clerk send a certified copy of this Order to the state court; 12 and (3) that the Clerk serve copies of this Order on the parties. 13 IT IS SO ORDERED. 14 15 DATED: __//16/15 16 17 18 United States District Judge 19 20 Presented by: 21 22 23 FREDERICK F. MUMM 24 United States Magistrate Judge 25 26 27 28